Eisele v. Commissioner, 37 B.T.A. 881 (1938)

A trust beneficiary is taxable on the full amount of income distributed to them, even if the trustee uses their discretion to charge expenses to the trust corpus rather than income, provided such discretion is explicitly granted in the trust instrument.

Summary

The petitioner, a life beneficiary of trust income, reported the total taxable trust income but deducted expenses paid by the trustees. The Commissioner restored these expenses to the petitioner's income. The central issue was whether the beneficiary was taxable on the income before or after the deduction of these expenses, which the trustee charged to the trust corpus. The Board of Tax Appeals held that the beneficiary was taxable on the full amount of income received because the trust instrument granted the trustees explicit discretion to charge expenses to either corpus or income, and they properly exercised that discretion.

Facts

The petitioner was the life beneficiary of a trust. The trust instrument granted the trustees broad discretion in managing the trust, including the power to charge expenses to either the trust's income or principal (corpus). In 1942 and 1943, the trustees paid certain expenses and charged them to the trust corpus rather than to the income distributed to the petitioner. The petitioner reported the total trust income but deducted the expenses, believing they were deductible under Section 23(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Commissioner disagreed, restoring the deducted amounts to the petitioner's taxable income.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in the petitioner's income tax. The petitioner appealed this determination to the Board of Tax Appeals, arguing that the expenses should reduce her taxable income from the trust.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a trust beneficiary can reduce their taxable income by the amount of expenses that the trustee, using their discretionary power under the trust instrument, charged to the trust corpus.
2. Whether amounts distributed to the beneficiary as a result of remaindermen's authorization to charge to principal expenses are taxable income to her, or a gift from the remaindermen.

Holding

1. No, because the trust instrument granted the trustees explicit discretion to charge expenses to either corpus or income, and the trustees validly exercised

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

that discretion.
2. No, because the trustees still exercised their discretion in accepting the authorization and the remaindermen lacked the power to gift either corpus or income.

Court’s Reasoning

The Board of Tax Appeals reasoned that the trust instrument clearly and unambiguously gave the trustees the power to charge expenses to either corpus or income. The court emphasized the language of the trust, stating that the trustees “may charge any and all such expenses and charges to principal or income in their discretion.” Because the trustees exercised this discretion, the expenses were properly charged to the corpus, and the beneficiary could not deduct them from her taxable income. The Board rejected the argument that the trustee’s discretion was limited or improperly exercised. The court also distinguished the case from others where the trustee lacked such explicit discretionary power. The Board found that the remaindermen authorizing the charging of expenses to principal did not transform the distribution into a gift. The court relied on *Baltzell v. Mitchell*, stating that “though she was to receive the net income of the trust, the net income of the trust is not the same as taxable income of a beneficiary.”

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that the specific language of a trust instrument regarding a trustee’s discretionary power over expenses is paramount in determining the taxability of trust income to the beneficiary. Attorneys drafting trust documents should be aware that explicit grants of discretion to trustees will likely be upheld by courts. For tax planning purposes, beneficiaries cannot reduce their taxable income by trust expenses charged to corpus if the trustee has the discretion to allocate expenses between corpus and income. This decision emphasizes the importance of carefully reviewing trust documents to understand the scope of a trustee’s powers and its potential impact on the tax liabilities of the beneficiaries. Later cases applying this ruling would likely focus on whether the trustee truly had discretion and whether that discretion was properly exercised.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.