Albob Holding Corporation v. Commissioner, 1947 Tax Ct. Memo 100 (1947)

Real property purchased with the intention of using it in a trade or business and for which concrete steps, like drafting plans, have been taken toward that use, is considered 'used in the trade or business' even if the intended use is later abandoned.

Summary

Albob Holding Corporation purchased a vacant lot intending to construct a building for its business operations. After drawing up plans and specifications, circumstances changed, and the company sold the lot at a loss. The central issue was whether this loss should be treated as an ordinary loss or a capital loss. The Tax Court held that the lot qualified as "real property used in the trade or business," entitling Albob Holding Corporation to an ordinary loss deduction because steps were taken to prepare the lot for business use. The intent to use the property coupled with concrete actions was sufficient to meet the statutory requirement, even though the ultimate plan was never realized.

Facts

- Albob Holding Corporation purchased a vacant lot.
- The corporation intended to build a building on the lot to be used for its business.
- The corporation had plans and specifications drawn up for the building.
- Due to unforeseen circumstances, the corporation abandoned the plan to build.
- The corporation subsequently sold the vacant lot at a loss.
- After listing the property for sale, the corporation leased it for advertising space pending sale.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that the loss from the sale of the vacant lot was a capital loss. Albob Holding Corporation petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination, arguing that the loss was an ordinary loss. The Tax Court ruled in favor of Albob Holding Corporation.

Issue(s)

Whether the vacant lot, purchased with the intention of using it in the taxpayer's trade or business, but ultimately sold at a loss before actual construction, constitutes "real property used in the trade or business" under Section 117(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, thereby entitling the taxpayer to an ordinary loss deduction rather than a capital loss.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Yes, because the corporation purchased the lot with the clear intention to use it in its trade or business, and took concrete steps (drawing up plans and specifications) towards that end. This constituted sufficient "use" to qualify the property for ordinary loss treatment, despite the ultimate plan being abandoned.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the phrase "used in the trade or business" should be interpreted to include property that is "devoted to the trade or business." The court emphasized that Albob Holding Corporation purchased the lot with a specific business purpose: to construct a building for its operations. The court noted that the corporation took concrete steps toward realizing this purpose, including having plans and specifications drawn up. The court stated, "It seems to us that at that time some use, normal for that state of proceedings, had begun to be made of the lot for the petitioner's business purposes." Even though the intended use was ultimately thwarted by later circumstances, the court held that the property's character as "real property used in * * * trade or business" persisted. The court distinguished the temporary leasing of the property for advertising as an incidental attempt to mitigate losses, not indicative of a change in the property's primary intended use.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the scope of "real property used in the trade or business" for tax purposes. It demonstrates that intent, coupled with demonstrable actions to further that intent, can be sufficient to establish that property is used in a trade or business, even if the intended use is never fully realized. Attorneys should advise clients that documentation of business plans and actions taken toward implementing those plans (e.g., architectural plans, zoning applications) is crucial in establishing the business use of property for tax purposes. Later cases may distinguish Albob Holding if the taxpayer's intent is not clearly documented or if the steps taken toward using the property in a business are minimal or insubstantial. This ruling informs tax planning and risk assessment for businesses acquiring real estate for future use.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.