Cuba Railroad Co. v. Commissioner, 9 T.C. 211 (1947)

A taxpayer using the accrual method of accounting is not required to accrue income when there is significant uncertainty regarding its collectibility.

Summary

Cuba Railroad Co., using the accrual method, performed services for the Cuban government but was not paid in the taxable year. The Tax Court addressed whether the company was required to accrue the unpaid amounts as income despite the uncertainty of collection due to the political climate. The court held that accrual was not required because the collection was contingent and uncertain, hinging on the political whims of future Cuban administrations. This case illustrates an exception to the general accrual accounting rule when collectibility is highly doubtful.

Facts

The Cuba Railroad Co. performed services for the Cuban government during the taxable year. The Cuban government owed the railroad company money for these services. Despite the debt being acknowledged, the Cuban government did not pay the amount owed during the taxable year. The company used the accrual method of accounting.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the Cuba Railroad Co.'s income tax. The Cuba Railroad Co. petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The Tax Court reviewed the case to determine if the unpaid amounts should have been accrued as income.

Issue(s)

Whether a taxpayer using the accrual method of accounting is required to accrue as income amounts owed by a debtor when the collectibility of those amounts is highly uncertain and contingent upon future events.

Holding

No, because accrual accounting requires a fixed right to receive the income, and the uncertainty surrounding the Cuban government's payment meant that the railroad did not have a fixed right to receive the money during the taxable year.

Court's Reasoning

The court relied on the principle that a taxpayer using the accrual method must accrue income when they have a fixed and unconditional right to receive the amount, even if payment is deferred. However, this rule doesn't apply when there is

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

a contingency or unreasonable uncertainty about payment. The court found that while the amount owed was certain, its collection was not. The court noted that "[t]here was no uncertainty as to the amount which the Cuban Government owed the petitioner for services rendered during the taxable year, but there was great uncertainty as to when and whether the Cuban Government would pay that amount." Because collection was "at the mercy of the political whims of future Cuban administrations," the court concluded that the company was not required to accrue the income. The court cited *San Francisco Stevedoring Co., 8 T.C. 222*, stating that the time when an item accrues is largely a question of fact, to be determined in each case.

Practical Implications

This case provides an exception to the general rule of accrual accounting. It clarifies that accrual is not always required when there's substantial doubt about collectibility. Attorneys should advise clients using accrual accounting to carefully assess the certainty of payment before accruing income. If significant contingencies exist that make collection doubtful, accruing the income may not be necessary or appropriate. This case is often cited in situations where government entities or other financially unstable organizations owe money, and the likelihood of payment is questionable. It also shows the importance of documenting the specific facts that demonstrate the uncertainty of collection.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.