9 T.C. 85 (1947)

Under Internal Revenue Code Section 24(b), a loss from the sale of property between a corporation and a shareholder owning more than 50% of its stock is not deductible, and community property laws attribute ownership equally to both spouses.

Summary

Arizona Publishing Co. sold real property to Charles Stauffer, a shareholder. The Commissioner disallowed the company's loss deduction, arguing Stauffer owned more than 50% of the company's stock, triggering Internal Revenue Code Section 24(b), which disallows loss deductions in such transactions. The Tax Court agreed in part, holding that under Arizona community property law, Stauffer's wife owned half of his shares, and he constructively owned his sister-in-law's shares, leading to disallowance of half the loss. The key issue revolved around applying community property principles to stock ownership attribution under the tax code.

Facts

Arizona Publishing Company sold real property to Charles A. Stauffer for $38,000. Stauffer owned 27% of the company's stock. W.W. Knorpp, whose wife was Stauffer's sister, owned 54% of the stock as community property with his wife. Stauffer paid for the property using community funds held with his wife. The company claimed a long-term capital loss on the sale, which the Commissioner disallowed.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Arizona Publishing Company's income tax for 1941. The company petitioned the Tax Court for review of the Commissioner's decision. The case was submitted on stipulated facts.

Issue(s)

Whether the loss from the sale of property by Arizona Publishing Company to Charles Stauffer is deductible, given that Stauffer directly owned 27% of the company's stock, and his sister-in-law owned 27% with her husband as community property?

Holding

No, because under Arizona law, community property is owned equally by both spouses, and Section 24(b) of the Internal Revenue Code disallows loss deductions on sales to shareholders owning more than 50% of the corporation, constructively including stock owned by family members. However, only half the loss is disallowed

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

because the sale was made to Stauffer and his wife's community property.

Court's Reasoning

The court relied on Arizona community property law, stating, "It has long been established that a wife's title in community property under the laws of Arizona is present and in every respect the equal of the husband's title." Citing *Goodell v. Koch, 282 U.S. 118*, the court affirmed that this principle extends to federal income tax. Therefore, Stauffer was deemed to own 13.5% of the stock directly and constructively owned his sister-in-law's 27% interest, bringing his total ownership to 54%, exceeding the 50% threshold under Section 24(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. The court rejected the argument that Section 24(b) only applies to non-bona fide transactions, citing *Nathan Blum, 5 T.C. 702*. Because the sale was to Stauffer and his wife's community, only half of the loss was attributable to Stauffer, with the remaining loss being deductible because Mrs. Stauffer's ownership fell below the statutory threshold.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies how community property laws interact with federal tax regulations regarding loss deductions. It emphasizes that community property interests are considered equally owned by both spouses for tax purposes. Legal professionals must consider community property laws when determining stock ownership for related-party transaction rules under the Internal Revenue Code. This ruling affects tax planning for corporations operating in community property states, particularly when dealing with transactions involving shareholders and their families. Later cases would need to distinguish situations where the shareholder's control, despite the community property split, still effectively dictates corporate decisions, potentially leading to full disallowance of the loss.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.