9 T.C. 57 (1947)

The value of shares in a foreign corporation, even if its assets consist entirely of real estate located outside the United States, is includible in a U.S. citizen's gross estate for estate tax purposes if the decedent owned the shares at the time of death, and the real estate is owned by the corporation, not directly by the decedent.

Summary

The Tax Court addressed whether the value of shares in a Mexican corporation, whose assets were exclusively Mexican real estate, should be included in the gross estate of a U.S. citizen. The estate argued the corporation was dissolved before death, making the decedent the direct owner of foreign real estate, which is exempt from U.S. estate tax. The court held that the shares were properly included in the gross estate because the corporation had not completed liquidation at the time of death, and the decedent's interest remained shares of stock, not direct ownership of real property. The court emphasized the separate juridical personality of the corporation under Mexican law.

Facts

James M.B. Hard, a U.S. citizen residing in Mexico, died in 1943 owning all the shares of Hard Guevara Co., a Mexican corporation (sociedad anonima). The corporation's sole assets were real properties located in Mexico, originally transferred to the corporation by Hard. Mexican law stated that a corporation with fewer than five shareholders was subject to dissolution. After Hard's death, his widow, as the sole heir, initiated liquidation proceedings for the corporation in 1944.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Hard's estate tax by including the value of the Hard Guevara Co. shares in the gross estate. The estate petitioned the Tax Court, arguing that the shares should not be included because they represented foreign real estate owned directly by the decedent. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner, upholding the inclusion of the share value in the gross estate.

Issue(s)

Whether the value of shares in a Mexican corporation, whose assets consist solely of real property located in Mexico, is includible in the gross estate of a U.S. citizen shareholder for U.S. estate tax purposes, when the corporation was allegedly dissolved under Mexican law due to having fewer than the required number of shareholders?

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

No, because the corporation's liquidation process had not been completed at the time of the decedent's death; therefore, the decedent's interest was in the shares of stock, not direct ownership of real property, and the shares were properly included in the gross estate.

Court's Reasoning

The court emphasized that, under Mexican law, even if the corporation was technically dissolved, it retained its juridical personality until liquidation was complete. The court relied on expert testimony regarding Mexican law, particularly the requirement for a liquidator to handle the assets, pay obligations, and distribute the remainder to shareholders. The court noted that even during liquidation, a shareholder cannot demand the entire amount of assets due to them, indicating a continued separation between the shareholder and the underlying real estate. Because liquidation had not begun at the time of Hard's death, his interest remained shares of stock. The court cited Tait v. Dante to support the holding that the right to participate in the ultimate distribution of corporate assets is personalty, not realty.

Practical Implications

This case illustrates the importance of considering the separate legal existence of corporations, even those owning solely foreign real estate, when determining estate tax liabilities. The ruling reinforces that mere ownership of shares does not equate to direct ownership of the underlying assets. Legal practitioners should analyze the specific laws of the foreign jurisdiction regarding corporate dissolution and liquidation to determine the nature of the decedent's interest at the time of death. Estate planning must account for the distinction between owning shares and directly owning property, especially when dealing with assets located in foreign jurisdictions. This case clarifies that the exception for foreign real property under Section 811 (now Section 2031) of the Internal Revenue Code does not extend to shares of stock, even if the corporation's only asset is foreign real estate.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.