Esther Marie Lachman v. Commissioner, 6 T.C. 799 (1946)

Under Louisiana community property law, income from a wife's separate property is considered community income if the husband actively manages the property, exercising control beyond that of a mere agent.

Summary

The Tax Court addressed whether income from a wife's separate property in Louisiana should be taxed as community income. The Commissioner argued it should be, because the husband administered the property. The court examined the extent of the husband's involvement in managing the wife's stocks, partnership interests, and insurance proceeds. Finding that the husband exerted significant control over the assets, including serving on the boards of entities in which the wife held interests but he did not, the court concluded that the husband did administer the wife's separate property. Thus, the income was taxable as community income.

Facts

Esther Marie Lachman, the petitioner, received income from stocks in family corporations, an interest in a family partnership, and interest from insurance proceeds. This property was her separate property under Louisiana law. Her husband was a business professional. He served on the board of directors of the corporations and as manager of the family partnership, despite owning no stock in the corporations or interest in the partnership himself. The wife was not a director in either corporation. The husband's involvement was to take care of his wife's interests.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that the income from the wife's separate property was community income and thus taxable to both spouses. The wife challenged this determination in the Tax Court.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the separate property of the petitioner was "administered" by her husband within the meaning of Louisiana community property law, such that the income from that property constitutes community income.

Holding

1. Yes, because the husband exercised significant control over the wife's separate property, going beyond the role of a mere agent, thus the income is considered community income.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court relied on Louisiana Civil Code articles concerning the administration of a wife's separate property. Specifically, Article 2385 states that paraphernal property not administered by the wife alone is considered under the management of the husband. The court reviewed prior Louisiana cases, noting that the key question is whether the husband actively managed or administered the separate property. The court distinguished the facts from cases where the husband's involvement was minimal, such as merely clipping coupons or giving occasional advice. The court quoted Rosemary Herold Lazard v. Commissioner, 153 Fed. (2d) 348, stating: "What is meant by leaving the administration of the wife's property to the husband is the exercise of a voluntary election by which the wife abandons her own rights of authority and control and suffers the husband to manage, not as her agent and subject to her authority, but as if the property were his own." The court emphasized that the husband's active participation as a director of the corporations and manager of the partnership, positions he held solely to protect his wife's interests, demonstrated sufficient control to constitute administration of her separate property.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the level of spousal involvement that constitutes

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.