8 T.C. 1120 (1947)

Income is constructively received by a taxpayer when it is credited to their account, set apart for them, or otherwise made available so that they may draw upon it at any time, even if they choose not to take possession of it immediately.

Summary

Hooker Electrochemical Co. declared year-end bonuses to its officers, Hooker and Bartlett, stipulating payment unless prohibited by price control laws. After receiving legal advice that the payments were permissible, the company issued checks. Hooker and Bartlett, though, held the checks wanting official approval to avoid any legal issues. The Tax Court held that the bonuses were properly accrued by the corporation and constructively received by the individuals in 1942, despite their choice to defer cashing the checks until 1943, when official approval was secured. This ruling hinged on the lack of restrictions on their access to the funds.

Facts

Hooker Electrochemical Co. had a long-standing policy of paying year-end bonuses to employees based on company profits. In 1942, the company's directors approved bonuses for its president (Hooker) and vice president (Bartlett), subject to the condition that the payments were not prohibited by the Emergency Price Control Act. After consulting with counsel, who advised that the payments were permissible, the company issued checks to Hooker and Bartlett, which were dated November 27, 1942. Hooker and Bartlett received their bonus checks but did not immediately cash them. They sought official confirmation from the Salary Stabilization Unit that the payments complied with the law.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the income and excess profits taxes of Hooker Electrochemical Co. for the taxable year ended November 30, 1942, and in the income taxes of Hooker and Bartlett for the calendar year 1943. The cases were consolidated. The Commissioner argued the bonus amounts were only contingently incurred by the corporation in 1942 and were not constructively received by Hooker and Bartlett until 1943. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the taxpayers, finding that the corporation properly accrued the bonus expenses in 1942 and that Hooker and Bartlett constructively received the income in 1942.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Hooker Electrochemical Co. could properly accrue the bonus payments to its officers as an expense in its fiscal year ended November 30, 1942.

2. Whether the bonus amounts were constructively received by Hooker and Bartlett

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

in the calendar year 1942.

Holding

1. Yes, because the corporation took all necessary steps to fix and authorize the bonus payments, contingent only on the legality of the payments, which the company's attorney confirmed.

2. Yes, because the checks were made available to Hooker and Bartlett without any restriction on their ability to cash them, even though they voluntarily chose to delay doing so.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that Hooker Electrochemical Co. properly accrued the bonus payments in 1942 because the company had a clear liability to pay the bonuses, subject only to a condition (legality) that was satisfied. The court emphasized that the company's resolution to pay the bonuses, unless prohibited by law, did not create a true contingency preventing accrual. The subsequent issuance of the checks showed the company's intent to honor its obligation. As to constructive receipt, the court found that Hooker and Bartlett had unrestricted access to the funds in 1942. Their voluntary decision to delay cashing the checks, motivated by a desire to avoid potential legal issues, did not negate the fact that the funds were available to them. The court distinguished this case from *Charles G. Tufts, 6 T.C. 217*, where the employer was unwilling to make the payment and did not accrue the expense on its books.

Practical Implications

The *Hooker Electrochemical* case clarifies the scope of the constructive receipt doctrine. It reinforces the principle that income is taxable when it is made available to the taxpayer without substantial limitations or restrictions, regardless of whether the taxpayer actually takes possession of it. This decision is crucial for tax planning, especially concerning compensation arrangements. It highlights the importance of ensuring that payments are not subject to undue restrictions that would prevent immediate access by the recipient. The case serves as a reminder that taxpayers cannot voluntarily defer income recognition simply by postponing the act of receiving funds that are readily available to them. Later cases have cited this ruling to distinguish situations where true restrictions exist on a taxpayer's ability to access funds.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.