8 T.C. 1112 (1947)

A cash-basis taxpayer who makes a payment to cover a deficit from the sale of securities, pursuant to an agreement where they guaranteed against loss, can deduct the payment as a loss in the year the sales are completed and the final amount is paid, even if they were entitled to any profit from the sales.

Summary

Charles Berwind, a director and shareholder in Penn Colony Trust Co., agreed to cover a portion of any deficit resulting from the sale of the Trust Co.'s securities, which were being liquidated to cover an advance from Berwind-White Coal Mining Co. Berwind-White had advanced funds to the Trust Co. to purchase securities. The Tax Court held that Berwind could deduct the payment he made to cover the deficit as a loss in the year the securities were sold and the final payment was made, despite being taxed on the profits from the sale of those same securities in prior years. The court reasoned that the final settlement and payment constituted a closed transaction resulting in a deductible loss.

Facts

Berwind was a director and shareholder of Penn Colony Trust Co. To address capital impairment issues, the Trust Co. sold securities to Edward Creighton, with Berwind-White advancing funds. Berwind, Creighton, and Fisher agreed to liquidate the securities, repay Berwind-White, and share any surplus or cover any deficiency. Berwind's purpose in signing his contract was the protection of his business and investments. He was a member of Berwind-White. Its good name was affected. The Trust Co. was known as the Berwind Bank.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed Berwind's deduction for the payment made to cover the deficit. Berwind petitioned the Tax Court, contesting the disallowance and claiming a deduction for profits previously taxed to him and for losses of the trust fund in 1940. Prior litigation had established Berwind's liability for taxes on gains from the securities' sales.

Issue(s)

Whether Berwind, a cash-basis taxpayer, can deduct as a loss in 1940 a payment made pursuant to an agreement to cover a deficit from the sale of securities, where he was previously taxed on the profits from the sale of those securities and assigned other assets as security for the payment.

Holding

Yes, because the final settlement and payment in 1940 constituted a closed and

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

completed transaction resulting in a deductible loss for Berwind in that year.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court emphasized the practical nature of tax law, focusing on the actual transaction rather than legal labels. The court acknowledged Berwind’s prior treatment as an “equitable owner” for tax purposes related to the profits from the securities’ sales. However, the court distinguished that issue from the deductibility of the loss incurred when Berwind made the final payment to cover the deficit. The court rejected the Commissioner’s argument that the payment was a capital contribution, finding that the arrangement closed and completed in 1940 when Berwind ascertained and paid his liability. The court noted that Berwind had also assigned distributions from the Trust Co. liquidation as security, which were credited against his debt in 1940. These amounts had been disallowed as deductions in earlier proceedings because the application to the indebtedness was not made until 1940.

Practical Implications

This case illustrates that the tax treatment of a transaction must reflect its economic substance. Even if a taxpayer is considered an owner for purposes of recognizing income, they can still deduct payments made under a guarantee agreement in the year the liability becomes fixed and is paid. Taxpayers in similar situations should ensure that they properly document the terms of their guarantee agreements and the timing of payments to support a loss deduction. This ruling provides a framework for analyzing the deductibility of payments made pursuant to agreements designed to mitigate losses in complex financial transactions. Later cases may cite this to distinguish contributions to capital from guaranteed returns.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.