□□ *Brown Lumber Company v. Commissioner, 9 T.C. 719 (1947)*

Income from the sale of property is recognized for tax purposes in the year when the title and possession transfer to the buyer, not when an executory agreement to sell is reached.

Summary

□□ Brown Lumber Company disputed the Commissioner's determination of a deficiency in income tax for 1940. The central issue was whether the profit from the sale of land was realized in 1940 or 1941. By the end of 1940, the company had an executory agreement to sell land. However, title approval, deed signing, and consideration transfer all occurred in 1941. The Tax Court held that the sale wasn't a closed transaction in 1940 because the benefits and burdens of ownership hadn't transferred, thus profit wasn't realized until 1941. The court therefore sided with the petitioner.

Facts

- By the end of 1940, □□ Brown Lumber Company had negotiated an agreement to sell land at a set price.
- The form of the deed had been generally accepted.
- The abstract of title was deemed sufficient.
- However, final title approval, deed signing, transfer of possession, and payment of consideration all occurred in 1941.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in the petitioner's income tax for 1940. The petitioner appealed to the Tax Court challenging the Commissioner's determination regarding the tax year of the profit from a sale of land. The Tax Court reviewed the case.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the profit from the sale of land was realized in 1940 for income tax purposes, when there was an executory agreement but the transfer of title, possession, and consideration occurred in 1941.

Holding

1. No, because the sale did not constitute a closed transaction in 1940. The benefits and burdens of ownership did not pass to the vendee until 1941.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that a sale constitutes a closed transaction for tax purposes

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

only when the benefits and burdens of ownership pass to the buyer. Here, while an executory agreement existed in 1940, the key events – title approval, deed signing, transfer of possession, and consideration exchange – all occurred in 1941. The court relied on *Lucas v. North Texas Lumber Co., 281 U. S. 11*, stating that until these events transpired, the vendee wasn't liable for the purchase price. Therefore, the profit wasn't realized or accrued for income tax purposes in 1940.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that a mere agreement to sell property doesn't trigger income recognition. The key is the transfer of ownership's benefits and burdens. This means legal professionals must examine when title and possession actually transfer, and when consideration is exchanged to determine the correct tax year for recognizing profit from property sales. It underscores the importance of meticulously documenting the closing date of real estate transactions for accurate tax reporting. This ruling has been consistently followed and cited in subsequent cases dealing with the timing of income recognition in property sales.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.