Dorothy Newcombe, 9 T.C. 64 (1947)

When a divorce decree allocates alimony for the support of both the wife and minor children without specifying the portion for child support, the entire amount is taxable to the wife, regardless of how she actually spends the money.

Summary

Dorothy Newcombe received alimony payments under a separation agreement and court decree that allocated the funds for her support and the support of her minor children. Although she claimed she used all the money for the children's needs and none for herself, the IRS assessed taxes on the entire amount. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's determination, reasoning that because the decree didn't specifically designate an amount for child support, the entire payment was taxable to the wife under Section 22(k) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Facts

Dorothy Newcombe entered into a separation agreement with her husband, which was later incorporated into a divorce decree. The agreement and decree stipulated that alimony payments were for the support of both Dorothy and their minor children.
Dorothy claimed she didn't want any of the alimony for herself and used it exclusively for the children's care.
The divorce decree allowed either party to apply for modifications.

Procedural History

The IRS determined that the alimony payments were taxable to Dorothy Newcombe. Newcombe challenged this determination in the Tax Court.

Issue(s)

Whether alimony payments received by a wife for the support of herself and minor children, without a specific designation of the portion allocable to the children, are fully taxable to the wife, even if she claims to have used the funds exclusively for the children's support.

Holding

Yes, because Section 22(k) of the Internal Revenue Code taxes alimony payments to the wife unless the decree or written instrument specifically designates an amount for child support. Since the decree did not fix an amount exclusively for child support, the entire payment is taxable to the wife.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court relied on Section 22(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, which provides that alimony payments are taxable to the wife unless the divorce decree or separation agreement "fix[es], in terms of an amount of money or a portion of the payment, as a sum which is payable for the support of minor children."
Since the decree in this case allocated the alimony for the support of both the wife and the children without specifying a particular amount for the children, the court found that the entire payment was taxable to the wife.
The court noted that the divorce decree allowed for modification, and the petitioner could have sought to have the decree changed to reflect her intentions regarding the use of the funds.
The court cited Robert W. Budd, 7 T.C. 413, to support its interpretation of Section 22(k).
The court highlighted the applicable regulation, Sec. 29.22(k)-1, which reinforces the statutory interpretation that a specific designation for child support is required to shift the tax burden to the husband.

Practical Implications

This case emphasizes the importance of clearly specifying the allocation of alimony payments between spousal support and child support in divorce decrees and separation agreements.
To ensure that child support payments are not taxed to the recipient spouse, the decree must explicitly designate a specific amount or portion of the payment for child support.
Attorneys drafting divorce agreements should advise clients to clearly delineate between spousal and child support to achieve the desired tax consequences.
This ruling impacts how divorce settlements are structured, as parties must consider the tax implications of alimony and child support payments.
Later cases have continued to apply the strict interpretation of Section 22(k), requiring precise language to avoid unintended tax consequences.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.