Peabody Hotel Co. v. Commissioner, 7 T.C. 600 (1946)

A transfer of property from an insolvent company to a new corporation, where the insolvent company's creditors become the equitable owners of the new corporation's stock, satisfies the continuity of interest requirement for a tax-free reorganization under Section 112(g)(1)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

Peabody Hotel Co. sought a redetermination of its property basis, arguing it acquired the Memphis Hotel Co.'s assets in a nontaxable reorganization. The Tax Court held that the acquisition of substantially all of Memphis Hotel Co.'s properties by Peabody Hotel Co. in exchange for voting stock and the assumption of liabilities constituted a tax-free reorganization. The court emphasized that the creditors of the insolvent Memphis Hotel Co. became the equitable owners of Peabody Hotel Co.'s stock, satisfying the continuity of interest requirement. This allowed Peabody Hotel Co. to use the transferor's basis for depreciation and amortization deductions.

Facts

Memphis Hotel Co. was insolvent and underwent court-supervised reorganization. A plan was approved where substantially all its assets were transferred to Peabody Hotel Co. Peabody issued voting stock to the creditors of Memphis Hotel Co., who became the equitable owners of the new stock. Peabody Hotel Co. also assumed certain liabilities of Memphis Hotel Co., including outstanding bonds.

Procedural History

Peabody Hotel Co. petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of its basis in the acquired property. The Commissioner argued that the acquisition did not qualify as a tax-free reorganization or exchange. The Tax Court reviewed the facts and applicable law to determine the correct basis for the assets.

Issue(s)

Whether the acquisition of assets from an insolvent company, where the creditors of the insolvent company become the equitable owners of the acquiring company's stock, qualifies as a tax-free reorganization under Section 112(g)(1)(B) of the Revenue Act of 1934, as amended, specifically regarding the "continuity of interest" requirement.

Holding

Yes, because the creditors of the insolvent Memphis Hotel Co. became the equitable owners of Peabody Hotel Co.'s stock, thereby satisfying the required continuity of interest for a tax-free reorganization.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the acquisition met the requirements of a nontaxable reorganization under Section 112(g)(1)(B). The court found that Peabody acquired “substantially all the properties” of Memphis Hotel Co. and that this acquisition was “solely for all or a part of its voting stock,” disregarding the liabilities assumed by Peabody. The court relied on Helvering v. Alabama Asphaltic Limestone Co., 315 U.S. 179 (1942), and Helvering v. Cement Investors, 316 U.S. 527 (1942), to determine that the continuity of interest requirement was met because the creditors of the insolvent company became the equitable owners of the acquiring company’s stock. The court stated, “pursuant to the plan and court orders, the Memphis Hotel Co.’s stockholders were eliminated as the equitable owners of the properties of that insolvent company and its creditors, to whom the stock in the Peabody Hotel Co. was issued, became such equitable owners instead, thus satisfying the required continuity of interest.”

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the application of the continuity of interest doctrine in corporate reorganizations involving insolvent companies. It establishes that creditors of an insolvent company who become the equitable owners of the acquiring company’s stock can satisfy the continuity of interest requirement. This allows the acquiring corporation to use the transferor’s basis in the acquired assets, which can have significant tax implications for depreciation and other deductions. Later cases have cited Peabody Hotel Co. for the proposition that the elimination of the insolvent company’s shareholders and the substitution of creditors as the new equity holders satisfies the continuity of interest requirement. This provides valuable guidance for structuring corporate reorganizations involving financially distressed entities. It is important for practitioners to analyze who the true equitable owners are after a reorganization, especially in insolvency situations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.