### 7 T.C. 399 (1946)

When a partnership's income is derived from both capital investment and the partners' services, the Commissioner's method of allocating income between these sources is considered rational if based on the facts and a reasonable approach, and the taxpayer bears the burden of proving errors in the application of that method.

#### Summary

This case involves a partnership, Western Door & Sash Co., owned by J.Z. Todd and J.L. Todd, operating in California. The Tax Court, on remand from the Ninth Circuit, addressed the proper allocation of partnership income between the partners' invested capital and their managerial services for the tax years 1940 and 1941. The court upheld the Commissioner's allocation, finding it reasonable and supported by the evidence, and reiterated that the taxpayers failed to demonstrate any significant errors in the Commissioner's calculations. The court emphasized that the burden of proving the Commissioner's determination incorrect rests on the taxpayers.

### Facts

J.Z. Todd and J.L. Todd were equal partners in Western Door & Sash Co. since 1914. Their initial capital was approximately \$1,500, with no further contributions beyond accumulated earnings. Both partners were actively involved in managing the business. By the close of 1935, the partnership's capital was \$144,366.81, considered separate property of the partners. In 1940 and 1941, the partnership expanded into war work, comprising a significant portion of its sales. The partnership maintained substantial inventories and occasionally used borrowed capital.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner determined income tax deficiencies for J.Z. and J.L. Todd for 1940 and 1941. The Tax Court initially upheld the Commissioner's determinations. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals remanded the case to the Tax Court, instructing it to make specific findings regarding the amounts attributable to capital and the partners' management, considering the parties' agreement that such findings were made, and allowing for additional evidence.

### Issue(s)

Whether the Commissioner's allocation of the partnership's net income between the partners' separate capital investment, community capital investment, and managerial services was reasonable and properly attributable to each source.

### Holding

Yes, because the amounts allocated by the Commissioner to separate capital

investment, community capital investment, and services were reasonable, and the taxpayers failed to demonstrate any significant errors in the Commissioner's application of the allocation method.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on the principle that the rents, issues, and profits of separate property retain their separate character. Earnings of separate capital left in the business continue to earn proportionally. The court found the Commissioner's method of allocation rational, referencing G.C.M. 9825. The court emphasized that the taxpayers bore the burden of proving errors in the Commissioner's application of the method but failed to do so convincingly. The court noted that the adjustments suggested by the petitioners were minor and, if accepted, might work against their interests. The court stated, "From all the evidence, we believe that the amounts respectively allocated by respondent to separate capital investment, to community capital investment, and to services were reasonable, and, in accord with the purpose of the remand, we have found as a fact that those amounts were essentially attributable to the respective sources."

# **Practical Implications**

This case reinforces the principle that the Commissioner's determinations in tax matters are presumed correct, and the taxpayer bears the burden of proving otherwise. It illustrates that when allocating partnership income between capital and services, a rational method, consistently applied, will likely be upheld unless the taxpayer can demonstrate significant errors in its application. The decision also highlights the importance of maintaining clear records to support claims regarding the source of income, especially in community property states like California, where the characterization of income can have significant tax consequences. The court's reliance on G.C.M. 9825 (though predating the current partnership tax rules) shows the continuing relevance of established administrative guidance in complex allocation scenarios.