Estate of Henry Hauptfuhrer, 19 T.C. 1 (1952)

A trust is includible in a decedent's gross estate under Section 811(d)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code if the decedent, as a trustee, retained the power to alter, amend, or terminate the trust, even if the decedent became physically and mentally incapable of exercising that power prior to death, absent definitive action to remove him from the trusteeship.

Summary

The Tax Court addressed whether a trust created by the decedent was includible in his gross estate for estate tax purposes. The decedent, as a cotrustee, held powers to distribute income and principal to beneficiaries. The court held that the trust was includible under Section 811(d)(2) because the decedent retained the power to alter or terminate the trust through his authority as a cotrustee. The court also rejected the argument that the decedent's mental and physical incapacity prior to death negated the retained power, as he remained a trustee until his death.

Facts

Henry Hauptfuhrer created a trust, naming himself as one of the cotrustees. The trust granted the trustees the authority to distribute income to his daughter or wife, and principal to his wife. The trust instrument stipulated the remainder would be distributed to other beneficiaries upon termination. From 1939 until his death, Hauptfuhrer suffered from mental and physical disabilities that rendered him incapable of making normal decisions concerning property rights. Despite his incapacity, he was never formally removed from his position as cotrustee.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that the trust was includible in the decedent's gross estate under Sections 811(c) and 811(d)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code. The estate petitioned the Tax Court, arguing that the decedent's incapacity negated his retained powers. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination.

Issue(s)

Whether the value of the trust is includible in the decedent's gross estate under Section 811(d)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, where the decedent, as a cotrustee, retained the power to alter, amend, or terminate the trust, but was physically and mentally incapacitated prior to his death.

Holding

Yes, because the decedent retained the legal power to alter, amend, or terminate the trust as a cotrustee until his death, even though he was physically and mentally

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

incapacitated and unable to exercise that power.

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that Section 811(d)(2) includes a power of termination, citing *Commissioner v. Estate of Holmes, 326 U.S. 480*. The decedent’s power, as a cotrustee, to pay over the entire corpus of the trust to his wife constituted a power to terminate. The court emphasized that Section 811(d)(2) embraces powers exercisable by the settlor irrespective of the capacity in which they are exercisable, citing *Welch v. Terhune, 126 F.2d 695; Union Trust Co. of Pittsburgh v. Driscoll, 138 F.2d 152; Estate of Albert E. Nettleton, 4 T.C. 987*. The court stated that the trustees had the authority to vary the enjoyment of the trust property, impacting who would benefit from it and in what proportions. Addressing the argument of the decedent’s incapacity, the court acknowledged his inability to make normal decisions, but noted he was never removed from the trusteeship or adjudged mentally incompetent. The court concluded, “While the matter is one of first impression, we should think that some definitive action might well be necessary to terminate the retained power of the decedent before the purpose of the statute can be defeated.”

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that the legal power to alter, amend, or terminate a trust, retained by a settlor acting as trustee, is sufficient to include the trust in the settlor’s gross estate, even if the settlor is incapacitated. This ruling emphasizes the importance of formal actions, such as resignation or legal removal, to effectively relinquish such powers. For estate planning, this means that settlors serving as trustees must take definitive steps to remove themselves from their roles if they become incapacitated, or the trust assets will be included in their taxable estate. Later cases have cited this ruling to reinforce the principle that retained powers, not the actual exercise of those powers, trigger estate tax inclusion. This case is a warning to practitioners to carefully consider the implications of retaining trustee powers for settlors and to advise clients to take formal steps to relinquish those powers if they become incapacitated.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.