6 T.C. 593 (1946)

A cash-basis taxpayer who gifts a note with accrued interest is taxable on that interest if it's paid within the same taxable year as the gift.

Summary

Ida Austin gifted a note, along with accrued interest, from her husband to her children. The husband's estate paid the accrued interest in two installments, one within the same year as the gift and the other in the subsequent year. The Tax Court addressed whether Austin was taxable on the interest payments. The court held that Austin was taxable on the interest paid in the same year as the gift, reasoning that she realized economic benefit when her children received the payment, but not on the interest paid in the subsequent year.

Facts

In 1932, W.J. Austin gave his wife, Ida Austin, a note for $76,128. He made some interest payments but no principal payments. By November 16, 1940, the principal was largely unpaid and accrued interest totaled $43,320.04. On that date, Ida Austin gifted the note, including the accrued interest, to her three adult children. W.J. Austin died in an airplane accident on December 4, 1940. On December 31, 1940, his estate paid $39,904.31 in interest to the children, and another $3,415.73 in June 1941, completing the payment of all accrued interest as of the gift date. Ida Austin paid gift tax on the transfer of the note and accrued interest.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Ida Austin's income tax for 1940, arguing she was taxable on the interest paid to her children. Austin appealed to the Tax Court.

Issue(s)

Whether a cash-basis taxpayer who gifts a note with accrued interest is taxable on that interest if the interest is paid to the donee in (1) the same taxable year as the gift, and (2) a subsequent taxable year.

Holding

1. Yes, because the donor realized economic benefit when the interest was paid to the donee during the same taxable year as the gift.
2. No, because under existing precedent, interest paid in a subsequent taxable year is not taxable to the donor.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The Tax Court relied heavily on *Helvering v. Horst*, which established that the power to dispose of income is equivalent to enjoyment and, therefore, realization of that income. The court stated,

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.