6 T.C. 140 (1946)

When compensation for services covering 60 or more months is received in one taxable year, the tax liability is determined under Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, and Section 116(a) regarding exclusion of income for bona fide non-residents is applied based on the actual year of receipt, not the years the income is allocated to under Section 107(a).

Summary

Federico Stallforth received compensation in 1941 for services rendered from 1935 through 1940 related to the sabotage claims before the Mixed Claims Commission. The Tax Court addressed two issues: whether Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code applied, allowing the income to be taxed as if received over the service period, and whether Section 116(a) applied to exclude income allocable to 1936 and 1937, when Stallforth was a non-resident. The Court held that Section 107(a) applied because the services spanned over 60 months, but Section 116(a) did not because Stallforth was not a non-resident in 1941, the year of receipt, and the cash-basis method of accounting dictates that the year of receipt governs.

Facts

Federico Stallforth, a U.S. citizen, provided services from November 1935 through 1940 related to the sabotage claims before the Mixed Claims Commission, United States and Germany. His work involved negotiating with German officials to settle claims arising from the destruction of the Black Tom terminal and the Kingsland plant during World War I. He received $168,645.36 in 1941 for these services. Stallforth had been a bona fide nonresident of the United States for more than six months during 1936 and 1937, but not in 1941.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Stallforth’s 1941 income tax. Stallforth petitioned the Tax Court, arguing that Section 107(a) and Section 116(a) of the Internal Revenue Code should apply to reduce his tax liability. The Tax Court ruled in favor of Stallforth on the applicability of Section 107(a) but against him regarding Section 116(a).

Issue(s)

1. Whether the compensation received by Stallforth in 1941 was for services rendered over a period of sixty calendar months or more, thus making Section 107(a) of the Internal Revenue Code applicable?

2. If Section 107(a) applies, whether the income allocable to 1936 and 1937 is excludible from gross income under Section 116(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, given that Stallforth was a bona fide nonresident of the United States for more than

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

six months during those years?

Holding

1. Yes, because Stallforth's services spanned from November 1935 through 1940, a period exceeding sixty months.

2. No, because Section 116(a) applies to the year the income was received (1941), not the years to which the income is allocated under Section 107(a), and Stallforth was not a bona fide nonresident of the U.S. for more than six months in 1941. Furthermore, the court assumed Stallforth used the cash basis method, where income is taxed when received.

Court's Reasoning

The Court found that Stallforth's services began in November 1935 and continued through 1940, based on the agreements, correspondence, and actions of the parties involved, particularly L.A. Peto, an official with Canadian Car and Foundry Company. The court acknowledged that Stallforth continued to provide services at the request of Peto and McCloy, even after the initial decision by the Mixed Claims Commission, to ensure the awards would be paid. Regarding Section 116(a), the Court relied on *Muhleman v. Hoey*, stating that when a taxpayer reports on the cash basis, "his cash receipts for the period covered by the return are to be taken into account no matter when the transactions or services which produced the income represented by the cash receipts may have been consummated or performed." Since Stallforth did not demonstrate he was a nonresident in 1941, and was presumed to be using the cash basis method, Section 116(a) was inapplicable. The court also noted that Section 107(a) deals with tax rates, while Section 116(a) concerns the computation of net income, indicating they address distinct aspects of tax liability.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the interaction between Section 107(a) and Section 116(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. It confirms that the exclusion for income earned abroad by U.S. citizens applies based on their residency status in the year the income is actually received, not the years the income is attributed to under the income-averaging provisions of Section 107(a) or similar sections. This reinforces the importance of considering the taxpayer's accounting method (cash versus accrual) when determining the taxable year for income inclusion. Legal practitioners must advise clients that tax benefits related to residency are determined in the year of receipt, regardless of when the income was earned, especially relevant for individuals performing long-term services with fluctuating residency statuses. This also affects how tax planning is conducted for individuals anticipating a large payment for services rendered over many years.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.