Estate of Dorothy B. Chandler, 7 T.C. 49 (1946)

A settlor's broad management powers over a trust, without the ability to derive economic benefit or control the ultimate distribution of income and principal, are insufficient to justify taxing the trust's income to the settlor.

Summary

The Tax Court ruled that Dorothy B. Chandler, the settlor and trustee of a trust, was not taxable on the trust income despite having broad management powers. The trust stipulated that income was to be distributed at her discretion until the beneficiary reached 30 years of age, at which point the accumulated income and corpus were to be paid to the beneficiary. The court distinguished this case from others where the settlor-trustee had greater control over the ultimate disposition of the trust assets or could derive a personal economic benefit. The court found the settlor's powers did not equate to the important attributes of ownership necessary to tax the income to her.

Facts

Dorothy B. Chandler created a trust, naming herself as trustee. The trust instrument granted her broad management powers over the trust property. The trust income was to be distributed at her discretion to the beneficiary until the beneficiary reached the age of 30. Upon reaching 30, the beneficiary was entitled to the accumulated income and the trust corpus.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue assessed a deficiency against Chandler, arguing that she was taxable on the income of the trust under Section 22(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. Chandler challenged the deficiency in the Tax Court.

Issue(s)

Whether the settlor-trustee's broad management powers over the trust, coupled with the discretion to distribute income until the beneficiary reaches a specified age, are sufficient to warrant taxing the trust's income to the settlor.

Holding

No, because the settlor's managerial powers did not allow her to derive personal economic gain, and the trust instrument fixed a time for the distribution of income and principal that she could not vary.

Court's Reasoning

The court distinguished this case from *Helvering v. Clifford*, 309 U.S. 331 (1940),

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

and *Louis Stockstrom, 3 T.C. 255*, noting that Chandler, as trustee, was ultimately required to distribute the income and corpus to the beneficiary at age 30. The court emphasized that management powers alone, without the ability to derive economic gain, are insufficient to justify taxing the settlor-trustee on the trust income. The court cited several cases, including *Estate of Benjamin Lowenstein, 3 T.C. 1133*, and *Lura H. Morgan, 2 T.C. 510*, to support this position. The court noted that the trust indenture fixed a time for payment of the income and distribution of the principal, which could not be varied by the trustee. The court found the facts similar to those in *J.M. Leonard, 4 T.C. 1271*, *Alice Ogden Smith, 4 T.C. 573* and *Alex McCutchin, 4 T.C. 1242*, where the settlor-trustee was not taxable on the trust income.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that broad management powers granted to a settlor-trustee are not, by themselves, sufficient to cause the trust income to be taxed to the settlor. The key factor is whether the settlor retains substantial control over the ultimate disposition of the trust assets or can derive a personal economic benefit from the trust. Legal practitioners should analyze trust agreements carefully to determine the extent of the settlor's control and benefit, focusing on distribution provisions and restrictions on the trustee's powers. Later cases have cited this case to support the argument that a settlor's control must be significant to justify taxation. It emphasizes the importance of clear and binding distribution terms in trust instruments to avoid income tax liability for the settlor.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.