5 T.C. 1261 (1945)

A taxpayer cannot deduct accrued business expenses to a related party if those expenses are not paid within the taxable year or 2.5 months after, and the related party, using the cash method of accounting, does not include the amount in their gross income for that year, and the relationship is one where losses would be disallowed.

Summary

McDuff Turner agreed to pay bonuses to his children, who were also employees. While his son received his bonus during the tax year, Turner's daughters did not receive theirs until the following year. Turner, using the accrual method, sought to deduct the full bonus expense in the year the services were rendered. The Tax Court held that because the daughters used the cash method, did not constructively receive the bonus in the tax year, and were related to Turner, Section 24(c) of the Internal Revenue Code barred Turner from deducting the daughters' unpaid bonuses until the year they were actually paid.

Facts

McDuff Turner, sole proprietor of Carolina Scenic Coach Lines, agreed in January 1941 to pay his son and two daughters a bonus based on 25% of net profits, capped at $15,000. The son, Hamish, received his share of the bonus during 1941. The daughters, Martha Beth and Nita, did not receive their bonuses in 1941 or within 2.5 months after the close of the year. The exact bonus amount was not determined until an audit was completed in May 1942. The daughters received the bonus in September 1942. Turner used the accrual method of accounting; his daughters used the cash method. Turner had sufficient funds to pay the bonuses in 1941, and would have advanced the funds if the daughters needed them.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction of the bonus payments to the daughters, resulting in a deficiency notice. Turner petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency.

Issue(s)

Whether Section 24(c) of the Internal Revenue Code precluded the petitioner from deducting bonus payments to his daughters in 1941, given that the daughters were cash-basis taxpayers, the bonuses were not paid within 2.5 months of the year's end, and the daughters were related to the petitioner.

Holding

No, because the daughters did not constructively receive the bonus in 1941, and

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Section 24(c) explicitly disallows the deduction of unpaid expenses to related parties under the specified conditions.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied Section 24(c) of the Internal Revenue Code, which disallows deductions for unpaid expenses if: (1) the expenses are not paid within the taxable year or within two and a half months after its close; (2) the amount is not includible in the gross income of the payee unless paid, due to their accounting method; and (3) the taxpayer and payee are related parties between whom losses would be disallowed. All three conditions were met. The daughters were cash-basis taxpayers. The bonuses weren’t paid within the prescribed timeframe. The daughters were related to the petitioner. The petitioner argued constructive receipt, claiming his daughters could have drawn the money at any time. The court rejected this, noting the bonuses weren’t credited to their accounts until May 1942 and the exact amounts weren’t determined until then. The court distinguished this case from *Michael Flynn Mfg. Co., 3 T.C. 932*, where salaries were accrued on the books and readily accessible. Here, the bonuses were not available “by the mere taking.” The court also pointed out that the daughters themselves did not treat the bonuses as income until they actually received the payments, filing amended returns at that time.

Practical Implications

This case illustrates the strict application of Section 24(c) to prevent taxpayers from manipulating deductions by accruing expenses to related parties without actual payment. It reinforces the importance of understanding constructive receipt; merely having the ability to access funds is insufficient if the funds are not credited or made available. Accrual-basis taxpayers must carefully manage payments to related parties to ensure deductions are taken in the appropriate tax year. Tax advisors must ensure clients understand the implications of Section 24(c) when structuring compensation for family members or related entities. Later cases cite *Turner* for the elements required for constructive receipt. Situations involving closely held businesses, family-owned enterprises, or any transaction with related parties must be carefully scrutinized to avoid unintended tax consequences.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.