Black v. Commissioner, 4 T.C. 491 (1944)

A grantor is not taxable on the income of an irrevocable trust where the grantor, as trustee, only has powers that any trustee could properly exercise for the benefit of the beneficiary and does not retain economic benefits or control over the trust property.

Summary

The petitioner, Donald S. Black, created an irrevocable trust for the benefit of his son and after-born children, naming his father as the initial trustee. Upon his father's death, Black became the trustee. The IRS assessed deficiencies, arguing Black should be taxed on the trust's income under Section 22(a) and Section 167 of the Internal Revenue Code, citing *Helvering v. Clifford*. The Tax Court held that Black was not taxable on the trust income because he did not retain significant economic benefits or control over the trust; his powers as trustee were limited to those benefiting the beneficiaries, and the trust was irrevocable.

Facts

Donald S. Black created an irrevocable trust in 1937 for the benefit of his son and any future children. The trust was funded with shares of Ohio Brass Co. stock and U.S. bonds, contributed by Black, his father, and his mother. Black's father initially served as trustee, succeeded by Black himself upon his father's death. The trust agreement granted the trustee broad powers to manage and invest the trust assets, but with a provision requiring the trustee to offer the Ohio Brass Co. stock to Black's brothers before selling it to others. The trust income was to be distributed monthly to Black's children. Separate accounting records were maintained for the trust, and the income was invested in municipal bonds held for the beneficiaries. The trust could not be altered, amended, or revoked.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Black's income tax for 1939, 1940, and 1941, arguing that the trust income was taxable to Black. Black petitioned the Tax Court for redetermination of the deficiencies. The Tax Court reviewed the trust agreement and the circumstances surrounding its creation and operation.

Issue(s)

Whether the income from the irrevocable trust established by the petitioner is taxable to the petitioner under Section 22(a) or Section 167 of the Internal Revenue Code, where the petitioner served as trustee and had certain powers over the trust assets and income.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

No, because the petitioner, as trustee, held only powers that any trustee could properly exercise for the benefit of the beneficiaries, and he did not retain significant economic benefits or control over the trust property.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court distinguished this case from *Helvering v. Clifford*, noting that the trust was not created and operated for the economic benefit of the grantor. Black irrevocably parted with the transferred property. The court emphasized that Black's powers as trustee were limited to those that could be properly exercised for the benefit of the beneficiaries. The Court stated, "A grantor-trustee who has only such powers in respect of the trust property and income as may be exercised for the benefit of the beneficiary is not taxable upon income of the trust." The court also noted that while the Black family retained voting control of the Ohio Brass Co., there was no evidence that this control was used for the direct benefit of the family to any substantial degree. The court reviewed the trust agreement and determined that the powers granted to the trustee were not so broad as to equate to ownership or control by the grantor.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that a grantor's role as trustee does not automatically render trust income taxable to the grantor. The key is whether the grantor retains significant economic benefits or control over the trust property. Attorneys drafting trust agreements should ensure that the grantor-trustee's powers are clearly defined and limited to those that benefit the beneficiaries. This decision emphasizes the importance of analyzing the specific terms of the trust agreement and the surrounding circumstances to determine whether the grantor has retained sufficient control or benefit to justify taxing the trust income to the grantor. Later cases have cited *Black* to support the principle that mere trustee status is insufficient to trigger grantor trust rules if the trustee's powers are appropriately limited.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.