5 T.C. 127 (1945)

When a corporation using the completed contract method of accounting liquidates before contracts are complete, the Commissioner may recompute income to clearly reflect earnings up to the point of liquidation, allocating income proportionally to the work done by the corporation.

Summary

Jud Plumbing & Heating, Inc., which used the completed contract method for long-term construction contracts, liquidated before completing several contracts. The corporation did not report income from these uncompleted contracts in its final tax return. The principal stockholder, Ed J. Jud, completed the contracts and reported the profits on his individual return. The Tax Court held that the corporation's accounting method did not accurately reflect its income under Section 41 of the Internal Revenue Code and upheld the Commissioner's allocation of profits between the corporation and Jud, based on the percentage of completion at the time of liquidation. This decision reinforces the principle that income is taxable to the entity that earns it.

Facts

Jud Plumbing & Heating, Inc. was a Texas corporation that dissolved on September 5, 1941. Prior to dissolution, the corporation transferred all its assets to Ed J. Jud, the president and primary stockholder, who also assumed all liabilities. From 1933 until its dissolution, the corporation used the completed contract method of accounting for its long-term construction contracts. At the time of dissolution, the corporation had 22 uncompleted contracts in various stages of completion. The corporation did not include any income from these uncompleted contracts in its final tax return for the period January 1 to August 31, 1941.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies against the corporation and the individual petitioners (as transferees) for the year 1941. The Tax Court consolidated the proceedings for hearing and addressed the sole issue of whether taxable income accrued to the corporation from the uncompleted contracts at the time of liquidation.

Issue(s)

Whether the Commissioner of Internal Revenue properly determined that the corporation's method of accounting did not clearly reflect income under Section 41 of the Internal Revenue Code when the corporation liquidated before completing its long-term construction contracts.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Yes, because the completed contract method, while generally acceptable, did not clearly reflect the corporation's income for its final period when it liquidated before the contracts were finished. The Commissioner was authorized to recompute the corporation's income using a method that accurately reflects the income earned up to the point of liquidation.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that while the completed contract method of accounting is permissible under certain conditions, it must accurately reflect income. Section 41 of the Internal Revenue Code grants the Commissioner the authority to recompute income if the taxpayer's method does not clearly reflect income. When Jud Plumbing & Heating liquidated, the completed contract method failed to reflect income earned by the corporation before its dissolution. The court emphasized, "The fundamental concept of taxation is that income is taxable to him who earns it and that concept, we think, is correctly applied by the respondent here." By allocating income proportionally to the work completed by the corporation, the Commissioner ensured that the corporation was taxed on the income it had earned up to the point of liquidation. The court distinguished this case from others cited by the petitioners, noting that those cases either involved different factual scenarios or predated Supreme Court decisions emphasizing that income is taxable to the person who earns it.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that the completed contract method of accounting has limitations, particularly when a corporation liquidates before completing its contracts. In such situations, the Commissioner can recompute income to reflect the earnings attributable to the corporation's work before liquidation. This decision provides a framework for allocating income between a corporation and its successor (individual or entity) when a corporation liquidates mid-contract. It underscores the importance of choosing an accounting method that accurately reflects income, especially when significant events like liquidation occur. Tax advisors should be aware of this rule when advising clients on liquidations and accounting methods.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.