Jud Plumbing & Heating Co. v. Commissioner, 5 T.C. 127 (1945)

When a corporation using the completed contract method of accounting liquidates before the completion of long-term contracts, the Commissioner may recompute the corporation's income to clearly reflect the income earned up to the point of liquidation.

Summary

Jud Plumbing & Heating Co., which used the completed contract method of accounting, dissolved in 1941. At dissolution, the company had several uncompleted contracts. The Commissioner determined deficiencies by allocating a portion of the profit from these contracts to the corporation based on the percentage of work completed before dissolution. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination, reasoning that the completed contract method did not clearly reflect income for the corporation's final period of existence and that the Commissioner had the authority to recompute income to accurately reflect what the corporation had earned before liquidation. This case highlights the importance of clearly reflecting income, especially during significant business changes like liquidation.

Facts

Jud Plumbing & Heating Co. used the completed contract method for its contract work, recognizing profits or losses only upon contract completion. The company dissolved on September 5, 1941, transferring all assets to Ed J. Jud as of August 31, 1941. At that point, 22 contracts were in progress. Jud completed these contracts personally and reported the income on his individual tax returns. The corporation did not report any profit from the uncompleted contracts at the date of its dissolution.

Procedural History

The Commissioner assessed deficiencies against the corporation, allocating a portion of the profits from four large uncompleted contracts to the corporation's final tax period. The Tax Court reviewed the Commissioner's determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Commissioner is authorized to recompute a corporation's income using a different accounting method when the corporation, using the completed contract method, liquidates before the completion of its long-term contracts.

Holding

1. Yes, because under Section 41 of the Internal Revenue Code, if the method employed does not clearly reflect the income, the computation shall be made in

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

> accordance with such method as in the opinion of the Commissioner does clearly reflect the income.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that while the completed contract method is acceptable when consistently used by an ongoing entity, it fails to clearly reflect income in the final period of a corporation's existence if it liquidates before contract completion. The court emphasized that Section 41 of the Internal Revenue Code grants the Commissioner authority to recompute income using a method that accurately reflects it. The court stated, "The fundamental concept of taxation is that income is taxable to him who earns it and that concept, we think, is correctly applied by the respondent here." The court found the Commissioner's allocation method reasonable, noting that it apportioned income based on the work done by the corporation before liquidation. The court distinguished prior cases, such as *Commissioner v. Montgomery* and *Iowa Bridge Co. v. Commissioner*, finding them factually dissimilar or superseded by later Supreme Court precedent emphasizing that income is taxable to the person who earns it.

Practical Implications

This case establishes that the Commissioner has broad authority to ensure that income is clearly reflected, especially in situations involving corporate liquidations. Taxpayers using the completed contract method must recognize that this method's acceptability is contingent upon its accurate reflection of income, particularly when significant business changes occur. The decision highlights the importance of carefully considering the tax implications of corporate liquidations and the potential for the Commissioner to reallocate income based on economic reality. Later cases have cited *Jud Plumbing* to support the principle that the Commissioner's authority to adjust accounting methods is triggered when the taxpayer's method fails to clearly reflect income.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.