4 T.C. 995 (1945)

A trust does not violate the rule against perpetuities when there is immediate vesting in the beneficiaries, as of the date of the trustor's death, of interests in both income and corpus.

Summary

The Tax Court addressed deficiencies in the Standishes' income tax returns related to deductions for a bad debt, loss from the sale of timber properties, and negligence penalties. The core issue concerned the validity of a trust established by Miles Standish, the petitioners' father, and whether it violated the rule against perpetuities. The court held that the trust was valid because it provided for immediate vesting of interests in the beneficiaries upon the trustor's death, both in terms of income and the trust's corpus. Consequently, the petitioners were not entitled to deduct losses sustained on the trust's properties.

Facts

Miles Standish created a trust on June 17, 1932, including land in Coos and Douglas Counties, Oregon. The trust stipulated that net income be paid to the grantor during his life, and then to his son, Allan (petitioner), Allan's wife, and their two children in specified proportions. The trust was to continue until the youngest grandchild reached 30, at which point the remaining property would be conveyed to the living beneficiaries in proportion to their income shares. The trust also addressed scenarios involving additional grandchildren or the death of a grandchild before receiving their benefits. Miles Standish died shortly after creating the trust.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the petitioners' income tax and imposed penalties. The Standishes petitioned the Tax Court, contesting the Commissioner's assessment. The Tax Court reviewed the trust instrument and the relevant facts to determine the validity of the trust and its impact on the petitioners' tax liabilities.

Issue(s)

Whether the trust established by Miles Standish violated the rule against perpetuities, thereby impacting the deductibility of losses sustained on the trust's properties by the beneficiaries.

Holding

No, because the terms of the trust provided for immediate vesting of interests in the beneficiaries as of the date of the grantor's death, regarding both income and corpus. The possibility of divestment due to future events (e.g., the birth of

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

additional grandchildren) did not negate the immediate vesting.

Court’s Reasoning

The court emphasized the legal principle favoring the vesting of estates and the intent of the grantor. The court determined that Miles Standish intended to provide for his family immediately upon his death. Quoting Simes Law of Future Interests, the court noted that “[a]n intermediate gift of the income to the legatee or devisee who is to receive the ultimate gift on attaining a given age is an important element tending to show that the gift is vested and not contingent.” The court found that the beneficiaries had a vested interest in the income from the trust as of the grantor’s death. Furthermore, the court concluded that the trust language indicated an intent for immediate vesting of the corpus as well. The court stated, “It is our opinion that, looking to the four corners of the trust, the grantor contemplated immediate vesting of interest of the corpus of the property in the several beneficiaries.” Because the trust was valid, the petitioners could not deduct losses sustained by the trust.

Practical Implications

This case illustrates the importance of clear and unambiguous language in trust instruments to ensure the grantor’s intent is upheld and to avoid violating the rule against perpetuities. When drafting trusts, attorneys should explicitly state when interests vest to avoid potential disputes and adverse tax consequences. The case reinforces the principle that providing beneficiaries with immediate rights to income from a trust is a strong indicator of the grantor’s intent to create a vested interest in the corpus as well. This case demonstrates that the law favors the vesting of estates and that courts will look to the entire trust document to determine the grantor’s intent, particularly when assessing compliance with the rule against perpetuities.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.