

3 T.C. 686 (1944)

A taxpayer cannot claim the benefits of Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code for long-term compensation when the individual's or the partnership's services did not cover a period of five years or more, and the taxpayer cannot tack the pre-partnership services of another partner to meet the five-year requirement.

Summary

Ralph Lindstrom, an attorney, formed a partnership with Arthur Eckman in 1936. In 1940, the partnership received a \$25,000 fee for legal services, some of which Eckman had begun providing to clients before the partnership was formed. Lindstrom and his wife, filing jointly, sought to apply Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code to spread the tax liability on the fee over the period the services were rendered, arguing it spanned more than five years. The Tax Court denied this, holding that neither Lindstrom's individual services nor the partnership's services covered the requisite five-year period, and Lindstrom could not include Eckman's pre-partnership work to meet the threshold.

Facts

Prior to May 1, 1936, attorney Arthur Eckman represented members of the Joughin family regarding a trust arrangement for their creditors that began in 1931. On May 1, 1936, Eckman and Ralph Lindstrom formed a law partnership. After the formation of the partnership, Lindstrom participated in matters regarding the trust. In 1940, the partnership received a \$25,000 fee for services related to the trust. Lindstrom had performed no services related to this matter prior to forming the partnership.

Procedural History

The Lindstroms reported the legal fee on their 1940 income tax return and sought to spread the tax liability under Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue denied the application of Section 107. The Tax Court consolidated the cases of Ralph and Katherine Lindstrom.

Issue(s)

Whether the petitioners are entitled to the benefits of Section 107 of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to legal fees received in 1940, based on services rendered over a period exceeding five years when one partner's work preceded the formation of the partnership.

Holding

No, because neither the services of Lindstrom individually, nor the services of the partnership, covered a period of five years or more. Lindstrom cannot add Eckman's pre-partnership individual services to the partnership's services to meet the

requirements of Section 107.

Court's Reasoning

The court focused on the language of Section 107, which applies to compensation received for personal services rendered “by an individual in his individual capacity, or as a member of a partnership, and covering a period of five calendar years or more from the beginning to the completion of such services.” The court emphasized that Lindstrom’s services individually and as a member of the partnership did not cover a period of five years or more. The court reasoned that allowing Lindstrom to tack Eckman’s pre-partnership services onto the partnership’s services would improperly extend the relief afforded by Section 107 beyond its intended scope. The court stated: “He can not add to his services as a partner the individual services of another partner rendered prior to the creation of the partnership and thereby procure the benefits of Section 107.” The court cited several prior Tax Court cases, including *Frank M. Slough*, to support its interpretation.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the limitations on using Section 107 to spread income from long-term projects for tax purposes. It establishes that a new partner cannot use the pre-existing work of another partner to meet the five-year service requirement. This ruling is important for attorneys and other professionals who form partnerships after work on a long-term project has already commenced. It dictates that to qualify for Section 107 treatment, the individual’s or the partnership’s services alone must span at least five years. The case highlights the importance of structuring partnerships and compensation arrangements carefully to maximize tax benefits related to long-term service contracts. It has influenced later cases by reinforcing the requirement that the individual seeking the tax benefit must have been involved in the services for the full five-year period, either individually or as part of the partnership.