# 3 T.C. 470 (1944)

Premiums paid on an annuity contract for an employee are not deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses when the employer retains significant control over the policy, such as the right to surrender it for cash value or receive death benefits.

### Summary

Wilcox Investment Co. sought to deduct annuity premiums paid for an employee as ordinary and necessary business expenses. The Tax Court disallowed the deduction, finding that because Wilcox retained significant control over the annuity policy (including the right to surrender it for cash and receive death benefits), the premiums were not true expenses but rather a form of investment. The court emphasized that the employee's right to receive the annuity was contingent on Wilcox not exercising its rights to reclaim the funds. This case highlights the importance of relinquishing control over assets intended as employee compensation to qualify for a business expense deduction.

### Facts

Wilcox Investment Co. purchased a "Retirement Annuity" contract from Pacific Mutual Life Insurance Co. to provide a pension for Ethel Thompson, a long-time employee, to begin at age 60. The contract required annual premium payments. Wilcox retained the right to borrow against the policy or surrender it for cash value and was the designated beneficiary for death benefits. The policy endorsement made Wilcox's beneficiary designation irrevocable but allowed the employee to receive monthly income payments without Wilcox's consent.

### **Procedural History**

Wilcox deducted the annuity premiums paid in 1938, 1939, and 1940 on its income tax returns. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed these deductions, leading Wilcox to petition the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiencies. The cases for these years were consolidated.

### Issue(s)

Whether the annual premiums paid by Wilcox on the "Retirement Annuity" contract for its employee are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under Section 23(a)(1) of the Revenue Act of 1938 and the Internal Revenue Code.

### Holding

No, because Wilcox retained significant control and beneficial interest in the annuity policy, the premiums did not constitute ordinary and necessary business expenses.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court emphasized that Wilcox, as the irrevocably designated beneficiary, had the right to borrow against the policy, surrender it for cash value, and receive death benefits before the employee's right to receive monthly income payments vested. The court stated, "The contingent right of the annuitant to receive monthly income payments, if she lived until October 22. 1945. and the possibility of the annuitant's ever deriving any benefit from this policy, could thus be defeated by the uncontrolled action by petitioner at any time prior to October 22,1945." Because Wilcox could reclaim the premiums paid, the court likened the situation to setting up a reserve, which is not deductible. The court distinguished this case from situations where the employer irrevocably contributes to a trust for the employee's benefit. The court concluded that Wilcox was essentially making an investment, not incurring an expense: "The contributions to such fund, in the form of premiums, were not ordinary and necessary expenses, in carrying on trade or business, under section 23 (a) (1) of the Revenue Act of 1938 and of the Internal Revenue Code."

## **Practical Implications**

This case provides guidance on structuring employee benefit plans to ensure deductibility of contributions. To deduct premiums or contributions, employers must relinquish control over the funds and create a situation where the employee's right to the benefit is not contingent on the employer's actions. Retaining the right to reclaim the funds, even conditionally, suggests an investment rather than a business expense. Subsequent cases have cited Wilcox Investment to emphasize the importance of transferring ownership and control to the employee or an independent trust for the benefit to be considered a deductible business expense. This impacts how businesses structure pension plans, deferred compensation, and other employee benefits.