First National Bank of Wichita Falls, Trustee v. Commissioner, 19 B.T.A. 744 (1942)

When a corporation transfers its assets to a trustee as part of a plan for dissolution and liquidation, the income generated from those assets during the liquidation process is taxable to the corporation, not the trustee, during the statutory period allowed for winding up corporate affairs.

Summary

First National Company of Wichita Falls dissolved and transferred its assets to two trusts. The Commissioner argued the income from these assets was taxable to both the trusts and the dissolved corporation. The Board of Tax Appeals held that because the asset transfer to Trust No. 2 was part of the corporation's liquidation plan, the income generated during the three-year wind-up period was taxable to the corporation, not the trust. The decision emphasizes that liquidating trusts are essentially extensions of the corporation during this wind-up phase, reaffirming the applicability of Treasury Regulations governing corporate liquidations.

Facts

The First National Company of Wichita Falls adopted a resolution on February 1, 1938, to liquidate and dissolve the company. The company formally dissolved on February 7, 1938.
The company transferred its assets to two trusts, Trust No. 1 and Trust No. 2, following the dissolution resolution.
The Commissioner initially recognized the asset transfers as a complete liquidation.
The Commissioner later determined deficiencies, arguing the income from the assets transferred to the trusts was taxable to both the trusts and the corporation.

Procedural History

The First National Company of Wichita Falls (dissolved) and First National Bank of Wichita Falls, trustee of Trust No. 2, petitioned the Board of Tax Appeals to contest the Commissioner's deficiency determinations.
The U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas ruled in McGregor v. Thomas regarding the income from the property transferred to Trust No. 2, but the Board of Tax Appeals did not consider this ruling res judicata.

Issue(s)

Whether the Board of Tax Appeals had jurisdiction over the dissolved corporation's case, given the deficiency notice was issued after the statutory wind-up period.
Whether the income generated by the assets transferred to Trust No. 2 was taxable to the trust or to the dissolved corporation.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

No, the Board of Tax Appeals lacked jurisdiction over the dissolved corporation because the deficiency notice was issued after the three-year period allowed under Texas law for winding up corporate affairs, as stated in Vernon's Annotated Texas Statutes, Article 1389, because the corporation ceased to exist, including its officers' authority.
The income was taxable to the dissolved corporation, because the transfer of assets to the trust was an integral part of the company's liquidation plan, making the trust essentially a liquidating agent for the corporation during its wind-up period.

Court's Reasoning

Regarding jurisdiction, the court cited Lincoln Tank Co., 19 B.T.A. 310, emphasizing that the corporation's existence and the authority of its officers terminated after the statutory wind-up period.
Regarding the income's taxability, the court relied on Treasury Regulation 101, Article 22(a)-21, which states that when a corporation is dissolved, the receiver or trustees winding up its affairs stand in the stead of the corporation. Any sales of property by them are treated as if made by the corporation.
The court distinguished Merchants National Building Corporation, 45 B. T. A. 417, affd., 131 Fed. (2d) 740, where the asset transfer occurred well before the dissolution was contemplated. Here, the transfer was part of the dissolution plan.
The court quoted First Nat. Bank of Greeley v. United States, 86 Fed. (2d) 938, emphasizing that the trustee's role was to convert assets to cash, collect debts, and pay taxes, essentially carrying out the liquidation as the corporation would have.
The court stated: "Clearly, the setting up of the First National Bank of Wichita Falls as trustee of Trust No. 2 and the transfer to it by First National Co. of Wichita Falls of its remaining assets were a part of the plan for the dissolution and final liquidation of the company."

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that during corporate liquidation, the income generated by assets transferred to a liquidating trust is generally taxable to the corporation during the statutory wind-up period.
Attorneys advising corporations undergoing liquidation must ensure that income is properly attributed to the corporation during this period to avoid tax deficiencies.
The decision reinforces the importance of Treasury Regulations in determining the tax consequences of corporate liquidations.
The ruling highlights the distinction between trusts established as part of a liquidation plan versus those created independently before dissolution was contemplated.
The decision provides a framework for analyzing similar cases involving the taxability of income generated during corporate liquidations, emphasizing the importance of the timing and purpose of asset transfers to liquidating trusts. Subsequent cases would likely examine whether the trustee's actions were truly in furtherance of liquidating the company's assets. This case may be cited to support

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

the position that a trust is merely acting as a liquidating agent of a dissolved corporation.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.