

## **2 T.C. 328 (1943)**

A taxpayer who provides substantial financial support for an adult child who is permanently disabled and lives in the taxpayer's household may qualify for head of household tax status, even if the child has some independent income.

### **Summary**

The Tax Court addressed whether a taxpayer, a widow, could claim head of household status for tax purposes, given that she provided significant financial support to her adult daughter, who was permanently disabled and lived with her. The daughter received some income from a trust. The court held that the taxpayer was entitled to head of household status because she provided substantial support to her daughter, despite the daughter's trust income. This case highlights the importance of actual financial contribution and the ongoing parental duty to support a disabled adult child.

### **Facts**

Elizabeth Massengale, a widow, maintained a home with her adult daughter, Elizabeth Ormond Massengale, who had been incompetent since early childhood. The daughter received some income from a trust established by her grandfather. The trust was intended to provide for the daughter's support should she become dependent on it. Massengale supplemented the trust income with her own funds to provide for her daughter's care, spending significantly more than the trust provided for this purpose.

### **Procedural History**

Massengale claimed head of household status on her tax returns. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue denied the exemption, leading to a case before the Tax Court. The Tax Court reviewed the facts and relevant tax laws to determine whether Massengale qualified as a head of household.

### **Issue(s)**

Whether a taxpayer is entitled to head of household status when the taxpayer provides substantial financial support to an adult child who is permanently disabled and lives in the taxpayer's household, even if the child receives some income from a trust.

### **Holding**

Yes, because a parent's duty to support a child who is incompetent and unable to care for themselves continues even after the child reaches adulthood, and providing substantial support warrants head of household status despite the existence of a trust fund.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the key factor in determining head of household status is whether the taxpayer provides actual and substantial support to a qualifying dependent. The court noted that while the daughter received some income from a trust, Massengale contributed a significant amount of her own funds to provide for her daughter's care. The court emphasized the continuing parental duty to support a disabled child, even after the child reaches adulthood, especially when the child has always been incompetent and continues to reside in the parent's home. The court cited legal authority stating, "where a child is of weak body or mind, unable to care for himself after coming of age, and remains unmarried and living in the father's home, it has been held that the parental rights and duties remain practically unchanged. The father's duty to support the child continues as before." The court found the trust provisions equivocal, as they provided for use of trust funds only "in the event she should become dependent thereon," implying the mother's duty of support should be considered first. Because Massengale provided substantial support, she was entitled to the head of household exemption.

## **Practical Implications**

This case provides important guidance on the head of household status for taxpayers supporting disabled adult children. It clarifies that the existence of some independent income for the disabled child does not automatically disqualify the taxpayer from claiming head of household status. Instead, courts should consider the totality of the circumstances, including the amount of support provided by the taxpayer, the extent of the child's disability, and the nature of the parental obligation. Later cases have cited Massengale to support the proposition that a parent's duty to support a disabled child may continue into adulthood and that providing substantial support can warrant head of household status. It emphasizes that family support obligations can outweigh the existence of separate income sources in tax law determinations.