415 South Taylor Avenue Corp. v. Commissioner, 4 T.C. 180 (1944)

During a corporate reorganization under Section 77B of the Bankruptcy Act, the trustee, not the corporation, is primarily liable for income taxes on income generated from the operation of the property.

Summary

The case addresses whether a corporation or its trustee is liable for income taxes generated during a Section 77B bankruptcy reorganization. The Tax Court held that the trustee, having control and title to the property, is primarily liable for the income taxes during the period of the trusteeship. This decision hinged on the interpretation of Section 77B of the Bankruptcy Act and relevant provisions of the Revenue Act of 1934, aligning with the Supreme Court's view in *Reineche v. Gardner* regarding the trustee's responsibility for taxes on income derived from the bankrupt's estate. The court did not address potential transferee liability of the corporation after the reorganization.

Facts

The 415 South Taylor Avenue Corp. owned an apartment building and underwent reorganization proceedings under Section 77B of the Bankruptcy Act. During the reorganization, Skillin acted as trustee and operated the apartment building. The trustee was discharged, and the property was returned to the corporation. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue assessed income tax liability against the corporation for income generated during the period of the trustee's operation, arguing the corporation was the beneficial owner of the income.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in the corporation's income tax for 1935, including a 25% penalty for failure to file a return. The corporation petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination, arguing the tax liability was that of the trustee and that the claim should have been asserted in the reorganization proceedings. The Tax Court reviewed the Commissioner's determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the income realized from the operation of the apartment building during the year 1935 was the income of the petitioner corporation or the income of the trustee in bankruptcy for purposes of federal income tax liability.

Holding

1. Yes, the primary liability for the tax on the income derived in 1935 from the operation of the apartment property was that of the trustee, not the corporation, because the trustee held title to and control of the property

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

during the reorganization.

Court’s Reasoning

The court relied on *Reineche v. Gardner*, 277 U.S. 239 (1928), which held that a trustee in bankruptcy, vested with the bankrupt’s property, is liable for income taxes on income derived from operating the property. The court emphasized that Section 77B of the Bankruptcy Act gave the trustee title to the debtor’s assets and the power to operate the business. Furthermore, Section 52 of the Revenue Act of 1934 mandated that trustees in bankruptcy make income tax returns in the same manner as corporations. The court distinguished cases where no trustee was appointed and the debtor corporation remained in possession, noting that in those instances, the corporation remained liable for taxes. The court stated, “As under the Bankruptcy Act (11 USCA) the entire property of the bankrupt vested in the trustee, the income in question was not the income of the bankrupt corporation but of the trustee, and was subject to income and excess profits tax only if the statutes authorized the assessment of the tax against him.” The court declined to rule on whether the failure to assert a claim in the bankruptcy proceedings barred later collection from the corporation, as that issue was not properly before the court.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the responsibility for income tax liability during corporate reorganizations under Section 77B of the Bankruptcy Act. It establishes that trustees, having assumed control and title to the debtor’s assets, are primarily responsible for filing returns and paying taxes on income generated during their tenure. This decision is important for attorneys advising corporations undergoing reorganization and for trustees administering bankrupt estates. It highlights the need for trustees to properly account for and pay income taxes during the reorganization period. While Section 271 of the Chandler Act (enacted in 1938) changed this rule, making the debtor primarily liable, the *415 South Taylor Avenue Corp.* case remains relevant for pre-1938 reorganizations. Later cases must consider whether the reorganization occurred before or after the enactment of the Chandler Act when determining tax liability.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.