Cheney Brothers v. Commissioner, 1 T.C. 198 (1942)

When a corporation deducts interest expenses and a shareholder later forgives the debt, the corporation realizes taxable income to the extent of the forgiven debt, regardless of whether the forgiveness is treated as a contribution to capital.

Summary

Cheney Brothers, a corporation, had deducted interest expenses on debentures held by a shareholder in prior years. The shareholder later forgave the interest debt, and the corporation credited the amount to donated surplus. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that the forgiven debt constituted taxable income to the corporation. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination, reasoning that the corporation had previously reduced its tax liability by deducting the interest payments and the later forgiveness of the debt resulted in an increase in assets, thus creating taxable income for the corporation.

Facts

Cheney Brothers issued debentures and deducted interest payments to its shareholders, including a significant shareholder. In a later year, a shareholder forgave a large amount of interest owed to them by the corporation. The corporation then credited this forgiven amount to a "donated surplus" account on its books.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue assessed a deficiency against Cheney Brothers, arguing that the forgiven debt constituted taxable income. Cheney Brothers petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The Tax Court reviewed the case and ultimately ruled in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

Whether the amount forgiven by a shareholder of an indebtedness of his corporation to him for arrears of interest on debentures held by him is properly included in the corporation's income in the year of the forgiveness, when the interest had been deducted by the corporation in prior years.

Holding

Yes, because the corporation had previously deducted the interest payments, thereby reducing its tax liability and the cancellation of the debt freed up assets of the corporation.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that by deducting the interest expenses in prior years,

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Cheney Brothers had reduced its tax liability. The subsequent forgiveness of the debt resulted in the removal of a liability from the corporation's balance sheet, effectively increasing its assets. Citing *United States v. Kirby Lumber Co., 284 U.S. 1 (1931)*, the court noted that the cancellation "made available $107,130 assets previously offset by the obligation." The court acknowledged the petitioner's argument that the forgiveness was a contribution to capital but found that this did not negate the fact that the corporation benefited from the cancellation of the debt. The court expressed doubt about the validity of Treasury Regulations that categorically state every gratuitous forgiveness by a shareholder is per se a contribution of capital.

Practical Implications

This case establishes that debt forgiveness can create taxable income for a corporation, particularly when the related expenses (like interest) were previously deducted. This ruling highlights the importance of considering the tax implications of shareholder actions, even when those actions appear to be contributions to capital. Attorneys advising corporations should carefully analyze the tax consequences of debt forgiveness, ensuring that the corporation properly reports any resulting income. Subsequent cases have distinguished this ruling on the basis of the specific facts, such as situations where the debt forgiveness was part of a larger restructuring or where the corporation was insolvent at the time of the forgiveness.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.